

JOURNAL OF DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS 29, 235-240 (1978)

Regularity of Weak Solutions to Elliptic Equations of Arbitrary Order

WOLF VON WAHL

*Mathematisches Institut der Universität Bayreuth,
Postfach 3008, D 8580 Bayreuth, Germany*

Received March 16, 1977; revised September 1, 1977

It is well known that every weak solution (with boundary values 0) of a semilinear equation $Au + f(x, u) = g$ is a regular solution if f fulfills the growth condition $(*) \mid f(x, u) \mid \leq c \mid u \mid^{(n+2m)/(n-2m)-\epsilon}$. Here $2m$ is the order of A . In this paper we weaken this condition to $c \mid u \mid^{(n+2m)/(n-2m)+1} \geq f(x, u)u \geq -c \mid u \mid^{(n+2m)/(n-2m)+1-\epsilon}$. This requires a technique completely different from that which may be applied in case $(*)$.

INTRODUCTION AND NOTATION

In recent times considerable effort has been devoted to solving weakly semilinear elliptic equations of type

$$Au + f(x, u) = g, \quad (0.1)$$

where A is an elliptic operator of order $2m$, with divergence structure and bounded from below (see, e.g., [2]). The open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n > 2m$, is assumed to be bounded and to have a smooth boundary. The function u is assigned boundary values 0.

In this paper we show that every weak solution of (0.1) is a regular solution if f fulfills the growth condition

$$c_1 \mid u \mid^{(n+2m)/(n-2m)+1} \geq f(x, u)u \geq -c_2 \mid u \mid^{(n+2m)/(n-2m)+1-\epsilon}, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad \mid u \mid \geq 1, \quad (0.2)$$

with positive constants c_1, c_2 . The number $\epsilon > 0$ may be arbitrarily small. Of course g must be a regular function. The growth condition on f from below in (0.2) seems to be the best possible one even in the case $m = 1$ (see [3, p. 285]). The growth condition on f from above is needed, since for higher-order equations no maximum principle such as that for second-order equations is available. This seems to be the best possible condition, since for the growth exponent $(n + 2m)/(n - 2m)$ the expression $f(u)$ is still in $H^{-m}(\Omega)$ if $u \in \dot{H}^m(\Omega)$.

We introduce the following notation. Let Ω be a bounded open set of \mathbb{R}^n . Then $H^{\nu,p}(\Omega)$, $\infty > p \geq 1$, is the Banach space of all real-valued $L^p(\Omega)$ -functions with distributional derivatives up to the order ν which are elements of $L^p(\Omega)$. Its norm is denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{\nu,p}$. As usual $\dot{H}^{\nu,p}$ is the completion of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ in the $\|\cdot\|_{\nu,p}$ -norm. We set $H^\nu(\Omega) := H^{\nu,2}(\Omega)$, $\dot{H}^\nu(\Omega) := \dot{H}^{\nu,2}(\Omega)$. For functions f continuous in $\bar{\Omega}$ we set

$$w(f)(r) := \sup_{\substack{x,y \in \bar{\Omega} \\ |x-y| \leq r}} |f(x) - f(y)|.$$

The set Ω or $\partial\Omega$ is said to be of class C^k , $k \in \mathbb{N}$, if $\partial\Omega$ locally admits a representation

$$x_i = \phi(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)$$

with a C^k -function ϕ , and if Ω is locally on one side of $\partial\Omega$. Finally we define D^α by

$$D^\alpha = \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right)^{\alpha_j}$$

if $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$, $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{N}$.

1. THE MAIN THEOREM AND ITS PROOF

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be a fixed number > 0 . Let Ω be a bounded open set of \mathbb{R}^n with a boundary $\partial\Omega$ of class $4m$. For every pair (α, β) of multi-indices of \mathbb{R}^n with $|\alpha|, |\beta| \leq m$ let the functions

$$A_{\alpha\beta} \in C^m(\bar{\Omega})$$

be given with $(1/i)^{|\alpha|+|\beta|} A_{\alpha\beta} \in \mathbb{R}$ and the property

$$M^{-1} |\xi|^{2m} \leq \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|=m \\ |\beta|=m}} A_{\alpha\beta}(x) \xi^\alpha \xi^\beta \leq M |\xi|^{2m}, \quad x \in \bar{\Omega}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

for an $M > 0$. For an element $u \in H^{2m,p}$ with a $p > 1$ we write

$$Au = \sum_{\substack{|\alpha| \leq n \\ |\beta| \leq m}} D^\alpha (A_{\alpha\beta}(x) D^\beta u).$$

Let a function

$$f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

be given with the properties: f is measurable with respect to x , for all $u \in \mathbb{R}$, and f is continuous with respect to u , a.e. $x \in \Omega$,

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x, u)| &\leq \tilde{M} |u|^{(n+2m)/(n-2m)} + \tilde{K}, \\ f(x, u) u &\geq -c |u|^{(n+2m)/(n-2m)+1-\epsilon} - c'u^2. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\tilde{M}, \tilde{K}, c, c', \epsilon$ are positive constants with $1 > \epsilon > 0$.

An element $u \in \dot{H}^m(\Omega)$ is a weak solution of the equation $Au + f(x, u) = g$, $g \in L^{2n/(n+2m)}(\Omega)$, if

$$\sum_{\substack{|\alpha| \leq m \\ |\beta| \leq m}} (A_{\alpha\beta}(x) D^\alpha u, D^\beta v) + (f(x, u), v) = (g, v)$$

for all $v \in \dot{H}^m(\Omega)$. Observe that $f(x, u) \cdot v$ is in $L^1(\Omega)$ because of our growth condition. Without loss of generality we assume that $n > 2m$.¹ We can now formulate our *theorem* on the regularity of weak solutions to semilinear elliptic equations, namely,

THEOREM 1.1. *Let $g \in L^\infty(\Omega)$. Let u be a weak solution of $Au + f(x, u) = g$. Then $u \in \bigcap_{p>1} H^{2m,p}(\Omega)$.*

For the proof we need

THEOREM 1.2. *Let $\tilde{g} \in L^q(\Omega)$ for a $q > 2n/(n+2m)$. Let $H: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a measurable mapping with the properties:*

$$\begin{aligned} H &\text{ is a Caratheodory function, } H(x, 0) = 0, \\ H(x, u) &\geq H(x, v), \quad x \in \Omega, u, v \in \mathbb{R}, u \geq v, \\ |H(x, u)| &\leq \tilde{M} |u|^{1+4m/(n-2m)} + \tilde{K}, \quad x \in \Omega, u \in \mathbb{R}, \end{aligned}$$

with positive constants \tilde{M}, \tilde{K} . Let $(Au, u) \geq c(n, m, M, \|A_{\alpha\beta}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}, w(A_{\alpha\beta}), \Omega) \|u\|_{m,2}^2$, $u \in H^{2m}(\Omega) \cap \dot{H}^m(\Omega)$, with a positive constant $c(n, \dots)$. Then every problem

$$P_\sigma \quad \begin{cases} Au + H(x, u) = \sigma \tilde{g}, \\ D^\alpha u | \partial\Omega = 0, \quad |\alpha| \leq m-1, \quad 0 \leq \sigma \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

has a unique solution $u_\sigma \in H^{2m,p}(\Omega) \cap \dot{H}^{m,q}(\Omega)$ with

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_\sigma\|_{2m,q} &\leq c(n, m, \Omega, q, M, \|A_{\alpha\beta}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}, \|\nabla^m A_{\alpha\beta}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}, \tilde{M}, \tilde{K}, C), \\ C &\geq \|\tilde{g}\|_{L^q(\Omega)}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

Proof. We introduce two sets Σ, Σ^* , defined as follows. Σ is the set of all σ ,

¹ If $n < 2m$ every weak solution of $Au + f(x, u) = g$ is regular if g is regular, and no growth condition on f is needed; if $n = 2m$, f has to fulfill only a condition $|f(x, u)| \leq c |u|^q$, q arbitrary. This follows from a Sobolev inequality and the linear theory.

$0 \leq \sigma \leq 1$, for which P_σ has a solution $u_\sigma \in H^{2m,q}(\Omega)$. Σ^* is the set of all σ , $0 \leq \sigma \leq 1$, with the properties:

1. $[0, \sigma] \subset \Sigma$,
2. $\|u_\tau\|_{2m,q} \leq c(\sigma, n, m, \Omega, q, M, \|A_{\alpha\beta}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}, \|\nabla^m A_{\alpha\beta}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}, \tilde{M}, \tilde{K}, C)$,
 $C \geq \|\tilde{g}\|_{L^q(\Omega)}, 0 \leq \tau \leq \sigma$.

Our aim is to prove that $\Sigma = \Sigma^* = [0, 1]$. First we remark that $0 \in \Sigma, \Sigma^*$. Let $\sigma_1 \in \Sigma, \sigma_2 \in \Sigma$. By scalar multiplication of $A(u_{\sigma_2} - u_{\sigma_1}) + H(x, u_{\sigma_2}) - H(x, u_{\sigma_1}) = (\sigma_2 - \sigma_1)\tilde{g}$ with $u_{\sigma_2} - u_{\sigma_1}$ we get

$$\|u_{\sigma_2} - u_{\sigma_1}\|_{m,2} \leq c(n, m, \Omega, M, \|A_{\alpha\beta}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}, w(A_{\alpha\beta}), C) \cdot |\sigma_2 - \sigma_1|, \quad (1.3)$$

since H is monotonically increasing with respect to u . Observe that $H(x, u)v$ is integrable over Ω if $u, v \in H^{2m,q}(\Omega)$. On applying well-known estimates for linear equations, the triangle inequality to $|u_{\sigma_2}|^{1+4m/(n-2m)}$, and a well-known Sobolev inequality [3, p, 45], we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u_{\sigma_2} - u_{\sigma_1}\|_{2m,q} \\ & \leq c(n, m, \Omega, M, q, \|\nabla^m A_{\alpha\beta}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}, \|A_{\alpha\beta}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}, C) \\ & \quad \cdot (\tilde{M} \| |u_{\sigma_2}|^{1+4m/(n-2m)} \|_{L^q(\Omega)} + \tilde{M} \| |u_{\sigma_1}|^{1+4m/(n-2m)} \|_{L^q(\Omega)} + \tilde{K}) \\ & \leq c(n, m, \Omega, M, q, \|A_{\alpha\beta}\|_{C^m(\bar{\Omega})}, C) \\ & \quad \cdot (\tilde{M} \| |u_{\sigma_2} - u_{\sigma_1}|^{1+4m/(n-2m)} \|_{L^q(\Omega)} + \tilde{M} \| |u_{\sigma_1}|^{1+4m/(n-2m)} \|_{L^q(\Omega)} + \tilde{K}) \\ & \leq c(n, m, \Omega, M, q, \|A_{\alpha\beta}\|_{C^m(\bar{\Omega})}, C) \\ & \quad \cdot (\tilde{M} \|u_{\sigma_2} - u_{\sigma_1}\|_{2m,q} \|u_{\sigma_2} - u_{\sigma_1}\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^{4m/(n-2m)} + \tilde{M} \| |u_{\sigma_1}|^{1+4m/(n-2m)} \|_{L^q(\Omega)} + \tilde{K}) \end{aligned}$$

with $p = 2n/(n-2m)$. Using (1.3) we see that $u_{\sigma_2} - u_{\sigma_1}$ fulfills the a priori estimate

$$\|u_{\sigma_2} - u_{\sigma_1}\|_{2m,q} \leq c(\sigma_1, m, n, q, \Omega, \tilde{M}, \tilde{K}, M, \|A_{\alpha\beta}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}, \|\nabla^m A_{\alpha\beta}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}, C)$$

if $|\sigma_2 - \sigma_1| \leq \delta = \delta(n, m, q, \Omega, \tilde{M}, M, \|A_{\alpha\beta}\|_{C^m(\bar{\Omega})}, C)$. Here δ does not depend on σ_1 . This shows that u_{σ_2} can be estimated a priori in the desired way, since $\sigma_1 \in \Sigma^*$, and thus u_{σ_2} satisfies an a priori estimate (1.2). Thus we see that $[0, \sigma_1 + \delta] \cap \{\sigma \mid [0, \sigma] \subset \Sigma\} \subset \Sigma^*$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} H_\nu(x, u) &= H(x, \nu), & u &\geq \nu, \\ &= H(x, u), & -\nu < u < \nu, \\ &= H(x, -\nu), & u &\leq -\nu, \end{aligned}$$

$\nu \in \mathbb{N}$, $x \in \Omega$, $u \in \mathbb{R}$. H is monotonically increasing with respect to u , and it can be easily proved that every problem

$$P_\sigma^\nu \quad \begin{cases} Au + H_\nu(x, u) = \sigma \tilde{g}, \\ \{D^\alpha u \mid \partial\Omega = 0, \quad |\alpha| \leq m-1, \end{cases}$$

has a unique solution $u_{\nu, \sigma} \in H^{2m, q}(\Omega) \cap \dot{H}^{m, q}(\Omega)$, $0 \leq \sigma \leq \sigma_1 + \delta$. As we just proved, $u_{\nu, \sigma}$ satisfies an a priori estimate (1.2), with the constant independent of ν . Letting ν tend to $+\infty$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} u_{\nu, \sigma} &\rightharpoonup u_\sigma && \text{in } H^{2m, q}(\Omega), \\ H_\nu(x, u_{\nu, \sigma}) &\rightharpoonup H(x, u_\sigma) && \text{in } L^q(\Omega) \end{aligned}$$

(the latter is proved by an application of Egorov's theorem). Thus $[0, \sigma_1 + \delta] \cap \Sigma' = [0, \sigma_1 + \delta]$. Since δ did not depend on σ_1 , we have proved that $[0, 1] = \Sigma = \Sigma^*$. Thus the proof of our *theorem* is finished.

Now we prove our main *theorem*, formulated at the beginning of this section.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Because of our growth condition on f we have

$$u(f(x, u) + c |u|^q u + c'u) \geq 0, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad u \in \mathbb{R}, \quad q = \frac{n+2m}{n-2m} - \epsilon - 1. \quad (1.4)$$

Instead of

$$Au + f(x, u) = h$$

we consider the equation

$$\tilde{A}u + f(x, u) - c_0 u = h$$

with $\tilde{A} = A + c_0$. Here $c_0 > 0$ is chosen so large that Garding's inequality

$$(\tilde{A}u, u) \geq c(n, m, \Omega, \|A_{\alpha\beta}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}, w(A_{\alpha\beta}), M) \|u\|_{m, 2}^2, \quad u \in H^{2m}(\Omega) \cap \dot{H}^m(\Omega),$$

holds with a positive constant $c(n, m, \dots)$. Setting $\tilde{f}(x, u) = f(x, u) - c_0 u$ we have because of (1.4):

$$u(\tilde{f}(x, u) + c |u|^q u + c'u + c_0 u) \geq 0, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad u \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (1.5)$$

We set

$$\begin{aligned} G(x, \tilde{u}) &= \frac{\tilde{f}(x, u(x)) + c |u(x)|^q u(x) + c'u(x) + c_0 u(x)}{\tilde{M} |u(x)|^{4m/(n-2m)} u(x) + c |u(x)|^q u(x) + c'u(x) + c_0 u(x) + \tilde{K}u(x)} \\ &\quad \cdot (\tilde{M} |\tilde{u}|^{4m/(n-2m)} \tilde{u} + c |\tilde{u}|^q \tilde{u} + c'\tilde{u} + c_0 \tilde{u} + \tilde{K}\tilde{u}), \\ &\quad x \in \Omega, \quad u(x) \neq 0, \quad \tilde{u} \in \mathbb{R}, \\ &= 0 \quad \text{otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

Here u is the weak solution under consideration. Instead of our original equation we deal with

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{A}\tilde{u} + G(x, \tilde{u}) &= h + 2c_0u + c'u + c|u|^q u, \\ &= \tilde{g}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

As is evident, G fulfills the assumptions of Theorem 1.2 with respect to x, \tilde{u} . Since

$$\tilde{g} \in L^{p_0}(\Omega), \quad p_0 = \frac{2n}{n-2m}/q > \frac{2n}{n+2m}.$$

Eq. (1.6) has a unique solution $\tilde{u} \in H^{2m, p_0}(\Omega) \cap \dot{H}^{m, p_0}(\Omega)$. Consequently

$$\tilde{g} \in L^{p_1}(\Omega), \quad p_1 = \frac{p_0 n}{n - 2p_0 m}/q > p_0.$$

In general we get that $\tilde{g} \in L^{p_\nu}(\Omega)$,

$$p_\nu = \frac{p_{\nu-1}n}{(n - 2p_{\nu-1}m)q},$$

and

$$\frac{p_\nu}{p_{\nu-1}} = \frac{p_{\nu-1}(n - 2p_{\nu-2}m)}{p_{\nu-2}(n - 2p_{\nu-1}m)} \geq \frac{p_1}{p_0} > 1.$$

From this it follows that after finitely many steps we arrive at

$$\tilde{g} \in L^\infty(\Omega).$$

Thus

$$\tilde{u} \in \bigcap_{p>1} H^{2m, p}(\Omega).$$

Since according to Sobolev $G(x, v)w$ is integrable for $v, w \in H^m(\Omega)$, Eq. (1.6) has a unique weak solution, namely, \tilde{u} . Evidently u solves (1.6) weakly. Thus our theorem is proved.

REFERENCES

1. F. E. BROWDER, "Existence Theory for Boundary Value Problems for Quasilinear Elliptic Systems with Strongly Nonlinear Lower Order Terms," Proc. Symp. Pure Math., Vol. XXIII, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1971.
2. S. FUČIK, J. NEČAS, J. SOUČEK, AND V. SOUČEK, "Spectral Analysis of Nonlinear Operators," Lecture Notes in Mathematics No. 364, Springer, Berlin/Heidelberg/New York, 1973.
3. O. A. LADYŽENSKAJA AND N. N. URAL'TSEVA, "Linear and Quasilinear Elliptic Equations," Academic Press, New York, 1968.